NOTES AND DISCUSSIONS

THE COMIC ENCOMIUM AND ARISTOPHANES *CLOUDS* 1201–1211

C. W. MACLEOD

A RECURRENT FEATURE of Aristophanic comedy is what may be labelled the encomium. In the latter part of the play the hero regularly achieves some kind of success or happiness; and this is saluted, often in a separate ode by the chorus. Such congratulations may be, as well as a natural outgrowth of the plot, a designed contrast to the chorus' mockery of individuals which is also typical of the latter part of an Aristophanic comedy. The encomium is marked by words denoting the happiness or success of the protagonist; εὐδαιμονεῖ (Ach. 836), εὐδαιμονικῶs . . . πράττει (Pax 856-858), ζηλῶ σε (Ach. 1008), ζηλῶ σε (Eq. 837), ζηλῶ γε . . . τὸν πρέσβυν (Vesp. 1450-1451), τὸν μάκαρα (Av. 1722), μακάριος (Ran. 1482; cf. Eccl. 1112); and he may be hailed as a public benefactor (Eq. 836; Pax 910-921; Av. 1725; Ran. 1487). A fragment from the Tagenistai (491 Kock) seems to refer to this feature of Old Comedy:

Α. τί οὖν ποιῶμεν; Β. χλανίδ' ἐχρῆν λευκὴν λαβεῖν, εἶτ' Ἰσθμιακὰ λαβόντες ὤσπερ οἱ χοροὶ ἄδωμεν εἰς τὸν δεσπότην ἐγκώμιον.3

In view of $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ oi $\chi o\rho oi$, it can scarcely be spoken by the chorus; far more likely is it that this is an exchange between two slaves (cf. $\epsilon is \tau \partial \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta \nu$). In mentioning what $\chi o\rho oi$ do, the second speaker has in mind in the first instance songs in praise of athletic victors. But no doubt he is also alluding like Strepsiades in Nub. 1205 (quoted below), to the encomium characteristic of comedy: such self-referential remarks are a part of the genre⁴

I owe valuable criticisms and suggestions on a draft of this piece to Prof. R. Kassel, Dr. A. C. Cassio, and the referees of *Phoenix*.

¹I take this from Nub. 1205, discussed below: in general on the meaning of $\epsilon\gamma\kappa\omega\mu\iota\sigma\nu$, see A. E. Harvey, "The Classification of Greek Lyric Poetry," C2 5 (1955) 163–164. On μακαρισμοί, see L. E. Rossi, "Il Ciclope di Euripide come κωμος mancato," Maia 23 (1971) 19–21.

²Sometimes it makes the substance of the second parabasis: see Ach. 1150-1173, Eq. 1264-1315 (which begins as if it were an encomium), Vesp. 1265-1291; otherwise, see Ach. 839-859, Av. 1470-1493, 1553-1564, Ran. 1491-1514. The chorus' refusal to speak ill of anyone in Lys. 1043-1047 and Thes. 962-964 is more pointed when seen against this background.

³On this fragment, see S. Srebny, "Quaestiunculae comicae," Eos 43 (1948) 54; K. J. Dover, "Aristophanes 1938–1955," Lustrum 2 (1957) 106.

⁴Cf. F. Muecke, "Playing with the play: Theatrical self-consciousness in Aristophanes," *Antichthon* 11 (1977) 52-67.

(cf., e.g., Ach. 627; Vesp. 1536–1537; Thes. 1076–1077). And it commonly happens in Aristophanes that elements typical of comedy are compared or fused with elements of other festivities. Thus the comic mockery of Ran. 420–434 is, in its dramatic context, the $\gamma\epsilon\phi\nu\rho\iota\sigma\mu\delta$ s of the Eleusinian mysteries; the comic revelry at the end of Ach. is the celebration of the X\delta\epsilons; or the hymns which are part of the women's celebration of the Thesmophoria in Thes. 947–1000, 1136–1159 embody the invocation of the gods and the prayers for victory (973) which occur in comic parabasissongs (Eq. 551–564, 581–593; Nub. 563–574, 595–606).

The celebration of the hero may express something other than exuberant participation in his good fortune. In Ach. the chorus, while they congratulate Dicaeopolis, comment on his selfishness (1017 αὐτῷ διακονεῖται; 1037-1039 ἀνὴρ ἐνηψρηκεν⁶ τι ταῖς / σπονδαῖσιν ἡδὺ κοὐκ (ἔοι—/κεν) οὐδενὶ μεταδώσειν). Sometimes, rather than overt misgivings, there may be implicit irony. Thus $\ln E_q$, where both Demos and the Sausage-seller are congratulated (1319-1338; cf. 836-840; 457-460), we can hardly fail to have noticed that Demos has been restored and rejuvenated by someone who is merely the old Paphlagonian writ large. In Vesp. 1450-1472 the chorus congratulate Philocleon, but he has clearly not become fit for smart society and Bdelycleon, who was re-educating his father, has gone off in disgust at his antics. As in Aristophanic comedy as a whole, the festive note can go with a sharper and drier form of humour; and this may be part of what Aristophanes has in mind when he speaks of his work as appealing to the intelligent (e.g., Nub. 518-562; Vesp. 1010-1014; Eccl. 1155).

The most unusual handling of the encomium is Nub. 1201-1211:

```
Στ. εὖ γ'. ὧ κακοδαίμονες, τί κάθησθ' ἀσβέλτεροι, ἡμέτερα κέρδη τῶν σοφῶν ὄντες, λίθοι, ἀριθμός, πρόβατ' ἄλλως, ἀμφορῆς νενησμένοι; ὥστ' εἰς ἐμαυτὸν καὶ τὸν υἰὸν τουτονὶ ἐπ' εὐτυχίαισιν ἀστέον μοὐγκώμιον.
΄μακαρ ὧ Στρεψίαδες
αὐτός τ' ἔφυς ὡς σοφὸς
χοἶον τὸν υἰὸν τρέφεις,'
φήσουσι δή μ' οἱ φίλοι
χοὶ δημόται
ζηλοῦντες ἡνίκ' ἄν σὺ νικᾶς λέγων τὰς δίκας.
```

What stands out here is that Strepsiades, as he himself points out, sings his own encomium. Lines 1201–1203 are a kind of diversion which prepares for this unusual step: he pretends that the audience should salute him,⁷ and when they do not, does so himself. The result is that the chorus

⁵Cf. Ed. Fraenkel, Beobachtungen zu Aristophanes (Rome 1962) 191-215.

⁶So Doboree; ἀνηύρηκεν codd.

⁷The joke here is reminiscent of the pseudo-invitations to the audience in Lys. 1058-

144 PHOENIX

does not assume its usual role as encomiast, and Strepsiades has to invent a pseudo-chorus of friends and demesmen into whose mouth he puts the encomium; contrast Ach. 1227-1234, where Dicaeopolis starts the song in celebration of his own victory (as εἴπερ καλεῖς γ' in 1228 brings out), but the chorus then join in.8 This is a part of the dramatic preparation for the Clouds' revealing themselves at 1452–1461, where it becomes clear that so far from being the patrons of sophistry, they are affiliated to the traditional gods and execute justice as they do:9 this was already suggested in the parodos, where they rejoice in the Athenians' piety (299-313), and in the parabasis, where they call on the Olympians (as well as on Aiθήρ) and treat the Sun and Moon as their fellows, sharing their displeasure at the Athenians' misdeeds. 10 It is also apt that Strepsiades should boast just before a change of fortune, when the son whom he praises together with himself turns his new found skills against his father: thus the dramatic irony, also present in the encomia in Eq. and Vesp., is very sharp in Nub. The encomium, like other features of this play, shows Aristophanes' humour in a particularly ingenious and particularly cutting form. In Nub. we actually see the triumphant hero discomfited; and that makes his boasting stand out the more vividly as the pride which comes before a fall. The whole conclusion of the play, and this part of it, show too with what commitment Aristophanes attacks in Nub. the evils caused by sophistic education, as he himself proclaims in his description of the Clouds in Vesp. 1037-1044.11

CHRIST CHURCH, OXFORD

^{1071, 1189-1215,} Eccl. 1140-1184 or the pseudo-request to them in Ach. 206-207, on which see Fraenkel, op. cit. 21; D. Bain, "Audience address in Greek tragedy," C2 25 (1975) 24. On comic insults of the spectators, see K. J. Dover, Greek Popular Morality (Oxford 1974) 24.

⁸For self-praise *following* praise from the chorus, see Eq. 1387, Pax 865-867, 918-921. Pherecrates fr. 5 seems to follow a self-makarismos, as Bentley (quoted by Kock) saw.

⁹For the conception of divine justice in lines 1458-1461, see Dover's commentary, page 272, and Hom. Iliad 9.510-512; Hdt. 2.120.5; Antiphon 3 γ 8, δ 10; Lys. 6.19; Aeschines 3.117; Lycurg. In Leocr. 92. Further, K. Latte, "Schuld und Sühne in der griechischen Religion," ARW 20 (1920/21) 278 = Kleine Schriften (Munich 1968) 20. It has been well discussed on a large scale by H. Lloyd-Jones, The Justice of Zeus (Berkeley and London 1971); see esp. 60-63, 88-89.

¹⁰On the treatment of the chorus in Nub., cf. C. Segal, "Aristophanes' Cloud-Chorus," Arethusa 2 (1969) 143-161 = Wege der Forschung 265 (1975) 174-197.

¹¹The exegesis of these lines by Wilamowitz, SBBerlin 1911, 468-470 = Kleine Schriften 1 (Berlin 1935) 294-296 is excellent. (MacDowell's note on line 1038 is unhelpful.)